The Full Disclosure Project

IA PRO SOFTWARE OR NO SOFTWARE
CATEGORIES OF MISCONDUCT

- ABSENT WITHOUT LEAVE (AWOL)
- ABUSE OF DISCRETION/AUTHORITY
- ABUSIVE OR DISCRIMINATORY LANGUAGE
- COMPUTER/EMAIL/INTERNET MISUSE
- CONDUCT UNBECOMING A POLICE OFFICER/EMPLOYEE
- CRIMINAL ASSOCIATION
- DOMESTIC INCIDENT
- EXCESSIVE FORCE
- FAILURE TO APPEAR IN COURT (FTA)
- FALSE ARREST/IMPRISONMENT
- FALSE STATEMENT/REPORT
- HARASSMENT
- IMPAIRING FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS
- IMPROPER STOP/SEARCH/SEIZURE
- INAPPROPRIATE COMMENTS AND/OR GESTURE(S)
- INSUBORDINATION
- MEDIATION PROGRAM REVIEW
- NEGLECT / FAILURE TO REPORT USE OF FORCE
- NEGLECT / FAILURE TO SUPERVISE
- NEGLECT/ FAIL TO ATTEND AND COMPLETE REQUIRED TRAINING
- NEGLECT/BWC
- NEGLECT/FIREARMS RELATED
- NEGLECT/GENERAL
- NEGLECT/PRISONER RELATED
- RACE-BASED PROFILING
- RESPONDENT IN CIVIL PROTECTIVE ORDER
- RETALIATION
- SECONDARY EMPLOYMENT VIOLATION
- SUPervisor REQUEST
- SUSPENSION
- UNNECESSARY FORCE
- UNSAFE OPERATION OF DEPARTMENTAL VEHICLE
- USE OF FORCE INVESTIGATION
- VCS/DOMESTIC VIOLENCE
- VCS/DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE (DUI)
- VCS/OTHER FELONY
- VCS/OTHER MISDEMEANOR
- VCS/SEXUAL MISCONDUCT
- VCS/THEFT RELATED
- VEHICLE ACCIDENT
- VEHICLE PURSUIT
- WORKPLACE HARASSMENT
DISPOSITIONS

- Unfounded
- Sustained
- Not sustained
- Administratively Closed
- Complainant Didn’t Follow Through
- Dismissed by Legal
Officers involved:

POLICE SERGEANT

Officer current info:

BUREAU: OPERATIONAL
DIVISION: PATROL
COMMAND / SECTION: CENTRAL DISTRICT

Snapshot - officer information at time of incident:

Badge/ID no:
BUREAU:
DIVISION:
COMMAND / SECTION:
Rank/title:
Age: Years of employment: Years with unit:
Off duty: Off duty employed:

Allegations:

EXCESSIVE FORCE - NOT SUSTAINED - Feb 17, 2010
DISCOURTESY - NOT SUSTAINED - Feb 17, 2010
HARASSMENT - UNFOUNDED - Feb 17, 2010
MISCONDUCT/VCS ILLEGAL SEARCH OF PREMISES - NOT SUSTAINED - Feb 17, 2010
MISCONDUCT/VCS MISDEMEANOR THEFT - UNFOUNDED - Feb 17, 2010
FALSE IMPRISONMENT - SUSTAINED - Feb 17, 2010
FALSE ARREST - UNFOUNDED - Feb 17, 2010

Charges:

RULE 1. CONDUCT 03/09/2010 [] - JUDGEMENT OF ACQUITTAL May 15, 2013

RULE 1. SECTION 19 03/09/2010 [] - DISMISSED BY LEGAL May 15, 2013
FALSE STATEMENT -- NOT SUSTAINED - Jun 16, 2010
MISCONDUCT/INRURAL -- SUSTAINED - Jun 16, 2010

Action taken:
- F: Dec 13, 2011 - SUSPENSION WITHOUT PAY TO BE TAKEN WITHIN FIVE (5) CONSECUTIVE PAY PERIODS.

Charges:
- RULE 1. CONDUCT 03/14/2011 [F] - DISMISSED BY LEGAL Dec 13, 2011
- RULE 1. SECTION 17 03/14/2011 [F] - DISMISSED BY LEGAL Dec 13, 2011
- RULE 1. SECTION 6 03/14/2011 [F] - DISMISSED BY LEGAL Dec 13, 2011
- RULE 1. SECTION 19 03/14/2011 [F] - DISMISSED BY LEGAL Dec 13, 2011

POLICE SERGEANT

Officer current info:
- BUREAU: OPERATIONAL
- DIVISION: PATROL
- COMMAND / SECTION: CENTRAL DISTRICT

Snapshot - officer information at time of incident:
- Rank/title: Sergeant
- Age: 50
- Years of employment: 16
- Years with unit: 16
- Off duty: Off duty
- Off duty employed: No

Allegations:
- NEGLIGENCE OF DUTY - NOT SUSTAINED - Jun 16, 2010
- NEGLIGENCE OF DUTY - NOT SUSTAINED - Jun 16, 2010
- NEGLIGENCE OF DUTY - SUSTAINED - Jun 16, 2010
- NEGLIGENCE OF DUTY - UNPARDONED - Jun 16, 2010
- NEGLIGENCE OF DUTY - NOT SUSTAINED - Jun 16, 2010
- NEGLIGENCE OF DUTY - SUSTAINED - Jun 16, 2010

Action taken:
Anatomy of the
Gun Trace Task Force Scandal:
Its Origins, Causes, and Consequences
Executive Summary
January 2022
Steptoe
“If officers engaged in a foot pursuit, suspects would frequently be beaten once they were caught, and in some cases deliberately sent to the hospital. …

BPD members conducted stops and made arrests without a sufficient factual or legal basis. …

Facts acquired through lawful investigations would often be supplemented by evidence acquired illegally through other means. …

Officers were taught that their courtroom testimony should not vary from the incident reports or charging documents they had submitted, even if those documents were wrong. …

These practices have long been embedded in BPD’s culture and help to explain why it provided a nourishing environment for corruption and misconduct.”
“A common form of corruption, which was not universally perceived by officers as inherently wrong, was making misrepresentations of fact to support law enforcement actions such as stops, arrests, and searches. ... 

This category of misconduct took various forms. The BPD officer would falsely represent that an observation or set of observations had been made by the officer himself rather than by the supervisor or informant. Or the officer would fabricate the observation entirely. The falsehood would then be perpetuated through false testimony, if necessary, that would be consistent with the inaccurate written accounts of what had happened. 

Our investigation demonstrated that this type of corruption was casual, routine, and pervasive—and carried with it no consequences. BPD members focused on the outcome—the arrest of someone they believed to be guilty—rather than the dubious means they used to achieve it.”
“Responses to a 2000 survey revealed “that nearly one out of every four BPD members believed that as many as 25% of BPD members were engaged in stealing money or drugs from drug dealers –a stunning result.”

“Presumably, if the definition of corruption had been expanded to include misrepresentations and lies in official police documents, which was common at the time, the number would have been even higher.”

GTTF Investigation report, page viii
“IA was reviled and distrusted by the BPD rank-and-file, and as a result, it had great difficulty recruiting and retaining capable investigators. IA investigators received no formal training of any kind, which further degraded its reputation and discredited its work. 

...  
Many BPD members believed the outcome of trial boards depended more on whom you knew than on what you did.

Simply put, the system that existed to deter, detect, and punish misconduct lacked credibility and both internal and external legitimacy.”
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE CITY

STATE OF MARYLAND

VS.

\[\text{Defendant}\]

CASE NO.

DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUBPOENA FOR TANGIBLE EVIDENCE UNDER RULES 4-263 AND 4-264 AND REQUEST FOR A HEARING

The above-named defendant, \[\text{Defendant}\], by and through undersigned counsel, Deborah Katz Levi, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court issue a Subpoena for Tangible Evidence for the production of the Baltimore City Police Department’s (BPD) complete Internal Affairs Division’s (IAD) files pertaining to Officer Joseph M. Donato because those files are likely to contain evidence that is usable at trial, as well as impeachment evidence, which the State is required to disclose under the Fifth and Sixth Amendments to the United States Constitution, Article 21 of Maryland’s Declaration of Rights, \textit{Brady v. Maryland}, 373 U.S. 83 (1963); \textit{Kyles v. Whitley}, 514 U.S. 419 (1995), \textit{Fields v. State}, 432 Md. 650 (Md. 2013), and Rules 4-263 and 4-264 of the Maryland
Controversial Baltimore Police officer banned from city courthouse

Baltimore Mayor Stephanie Rawlings-Blake, second from right, shows her Orioles sweatshirt off to Baltimore Police officer Fabien Laronde, right, while walking around downtown Baltimore Friday, May 19, 2012. (Steve Ruam)

By Justin Fenton
The Baltimore Sun
Sheriff's Office FOIA
PIA Office
28712 Globe Road
Easton, MD 21601

January 21, 2022

To Whom It May Concern:

Pursuant to the Maryland Public Information Act, I am hereby requesting access to the records listed below and held by the Sheriff's Office FOIA:

- Copies of any and all internal affairs complaints, including internal, citizen, or other agency complaints for Officer Justin Alia.
- Complete investigative files for each complaint, including but not limited to reports of recorded statements, video surveillance or body camera footage, investigative files, witness interviews, and photographs.
- The investigative findings of each complaint.
- Any correspondence from the Civilian Review Board, Police Advisory Commission or other civilian police oversight board.
- The final disposition of each case, if any.

The requested documents will be made available to the general public, and this request is not being made for commercial purposes.

In the event that there are fees, I would be grateful if you would inform me of the total charges in advance of fulfilling my request. Alternatively, please consider waiving any fees, pursuant to § 4-206(e)(2)(ii) of the General Provisions Article, as this request is on behalf of individuals served by the Maryland Office of the Public Defender, a state government agency, which solely serves indigent citizens of Maryland. As a result, my request for the above-listed public records is in the public interest. I would prefer the request filled electronically, by e-mail attachment if available or CD-ROM if not.

Thank you in advance for your anticipated cooperation in this matter. I look forward to receiving your response to this request within 10 calendar days, as the statute requires.

Sincerely,

Deborah Levi
Director of Special Litigation
Maryland Office of the Public Defender
(443) 272-1056
File, track, and share public records requests.

Explore filed requests or learn how it works.

103,941 filed requests
21,070 agencies
31,239 fulfilled requests
6,939,573 released pages

Recently completed requests
See all →

Completed
4 files
McLennan County Sheriff’s Department Rebecca Eubank Vehicles
Bernadette Feazell sent this request to the McLennan County Sheriff of McLennan County, TX.

Completed
2 files
McLennan County Auditor’s Office Sheriff Deputies time cards 10-15 to January 14, 2022
Bernadette Feazell sent this request to the McLennan County Auditor’s Office of McLennan

Completed
1 file
Request for 2020-2021 Agency Rosters & Salary Data
Amelia McDonell-Parry sent this request to the Capitol Heights Police Department of Capitol Heights, MD.

Completed
New York Police Department Rochelle Police Department
Samuel Sinyangwe sent this request to the Rochelle Police Department of Rochelle, NY.
Ms. Levi,

I am providing a status update and fee notification to your request R007731-102631, in which you have asked for internal affairs records of MCPD officers, specifically:

“Copies of are all internal affairs complaints, including internal citizen, or other agency complaints of the following 49 officers:

-Dominic Acosta (3251);
-Joseph Alvarez (3248);
-Todd Archer (3437);
-Melvin Aviles (2187);
-Amir Badweyer (2027);
-Kevin Baxter (2467);
-George Bayne (6041);
-Catherine Brevor (7021);
-Daniel Campbell (3536);
-John Christ (1339);
-Jason Coheen (2356);
-Thomas Conlon (8271);
-Frank Curn (3173);
-Roderick Cox (1334);
-Kevin Cristman (4676);
-Michael Damskey (2703);
-David Delucchi (1260);
-Christopher Diez-Camacho (1974);

- Alexander Dos Santos (2419);
-Melissa Dzienkowski (2540);
-Cody Fields (2789);
-Wendy Giagnacchini (1543);
-Michael Greaves (2578);
-Morgan Herceg (4463);
-Dwain Hilton (3875);
-Dianne Holiday (9317);
-Robert Kamenskoy (9663);
-Jared King (6463);
-Jesse Keath (2199);
-My Q. Le (3622);
-Nathan Leonard (2031);
-Chris Maloney (2094);
-Michael Maneiro (2587);
-Patrick McCarthy (3850);
-John McElhannan (1009);
-Sam McKenna (3635);
-Douglas Miller (2954);
-Don Motysko (2386);
-Kevin Morin (2646);
-Yves-Didier N’Keba (2648);
-Michael Peitzmeier (2651);
-Michael Schwegler (2652);
-Saret Scott (2373);
-William Seidel (1698);
-Thomas Serio (3960);
-Geoffrey Stone (2282);
-Joshua Stover (1679);
-Charles Valente (3075);
-Victoria Yuen (2219).

-Complete investigative files for each complaint, including but not limited to reports of recorded statements, video surveillance or body camera footage, investigative files, witness interviews, and photographs.
-Complete findings of each complaint.

100 Ednor Park Drive, Baltimore, Maryland 21228
www.mcpd.gov - www.mycitysnews.com
Acosta: The document redaction estimate is $90.00. There are no media files.
Alvarez: No records exist.
Archer: The document redaction estimate is $330.00. The media redaction estimate for $15,300.00.
Avelar: The document redaction estimate is $210.00. The media redaction estimate for $850.00.
Badgujar: The document redaction estimate is $3,030.00. The media redaction estimate for $18,450.00.
Baxter: No records exist.
Boyce: No records exist.
Brewer: No records exist.
Campbell: The document redaction estimate is $360.00. There are no media files.
Christ: The document redaction estimate is $120.00. There are no media files.
Christmon: The document redaction estimate is $960.00. The media redaction estimate for $5,512.00.
Cokinos: The document redaction estimate is $240.00. There are no media files.
Conlon: The document redaction estimate is $1,140.00. The media redaction estimate for $5,800.00.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Document Redaction Estimate</th>
<th>Media Redaction Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox</td>
<td>$1,590.00</td>
<td>$13,950.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damskey</td>
<td>$1,680.00</td>
<td>$6,530.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delacruz</td>
<td>$420.00</td>
<td>$4,650.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diez-Canseco</td>
<td>No records exist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dos Santos</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>No media files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dzenkowski</td>
<td>No records exist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fields</td>
<td>$210.00</td>
<td>No media files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giovacchini</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$99,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graves</td>
<td>No records exist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herceg</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td>No media files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hitson</td>
<td>$969.00</td>
<td>$18,950.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holliday</td>
<td>$450.00</td>
<td>$4,047.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamensky</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>No media files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
<td>$4,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knuth</td>
<td>No records exist</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
<td>$5,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPR Number</td>
<td>Incident Type</td>
<td>Citizen Complaint (Y/N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPR2020-2574</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI2017-004</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPR2018-0315</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI2015-046</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI2017-022</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI2017-022</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI2016-023</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI2017-071</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPR2018-217</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI2017-072</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI2014-009</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPR2021-0555</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI2014-013</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI2015-012</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPR2020-1959</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI2016-080</td>
<td>Administrative Investigation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Deborah Levi
Office of the Public Defender
201 St. Paul Place
Baltimore, MD 21202

Re: MPIA Request

November 29, 2021

Mr. Levi:

I have received your request under the Maryland Public Information Act by email dated November 11, 2021, for a “Do Not Call” list and the basis for placement on a “Do Not Call” list.

This office does not specifically have a “Do Not Call” list as you have chosen to define that list. We do, however, possess a record of current officers or recently departed officers whom we either have indicated an intention not to call as a witness or will make disclosures to counsel for a defendant if the officer may be called as a witness. Despite the fact that you only asked for those which fit the category of “do not call,” I have enclosed the entire record regarding officer witnesses. We possess no other records consistent with your request.

In the event you feel this is in any way a denial of your request, you have the right to seek judicial review of this response pursuant to General Provisions Article, §4-302.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

John P. Cox
Deputy State’s Attorney
For Baltimore County
But on one thing, a number of residents agree — they never anticipated their little town would be dealing with this kind of big-city problem. Or that Gov. Larry Hogan would be pushing for answers, too. Or that numerous TV stations would set up shop outside Town Hall.
When cops become robbers

Inside one of America's most corrupt police squads

Jessica Lussenhop, BBC, April 3, 2018  http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/resources/idt-sh/when_cops_become_robbers
Prosecutors Slap More Than A Dozen Subpoenas on Controversial Cop
WRITE ABOUT IT!